

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/614,672	07/07/2003	Michael Slatkine	0-03-152	7328	
7590 12/15/2005			EXAMINER		
Kevin D. McCarthy			RAHLL, JERRY T		
Roach Brown M 1620 Liberty Bu	IcCarthy & Gruber, P.C.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
420 Main Street			2874	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Buffalo, NY 14202			DATE MAILED: 12/15/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Applie	cation No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary			4,672	SLATKINE, MICH	HAEL
		Exam	·	Art Unit	<u> </u>
			r. Rahli	2874	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic				ddress
A SH WHI(- Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAN INSIDE OF THE MAIN IN THE MAIN	ALING DATE OF f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n nication. trory period will apply a ill, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUN to event, however, may a and will expire SIX (6) MO exapplication to become a	IICATION. A reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•
Status					
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL . 2I Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice.	o)⊠ This action or allowance exc	is non-final. ept for formal ma	• •	ne merits is
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>1-92</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>25-35 and 8</u> Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-5, 7-19, 21-24, 35-40, 42-3</u> Claim(s) <u>6,20,41,57,58,71,72,75-78 a</u> Claim(s) are subject to restriction	<u>22-92</u> is/are witho 56, 59-70, 73-74 <u>nd 80</u> is/are obje	and 79-81 is/are		
Applicat	ion Papers				
10)□	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted o ion to the drawing he correction is re	(s) be held in abeya quired if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	` ,
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)i	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of Certified copies of the priority of Some * c) None of: 2. Certified copies of the priority of Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have ocuments have f the priority doc al Bureau (PCT	been received. been received in uments have bee Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this Nationa	ıl Stage
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P sr No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT 	⁻ O-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/614,672 Page 2

Art Unit: 2874

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statements (IDS's) submitted on 11/10/03, 11/15/04, 1/26/05, 3/16/05 and 8/1/05 are in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statements are being considered by the examiner.

Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it exceeds the maximum length.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 7-19, 21-24, 35-40, 42-56, 59-70, 73-74 and 79-81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Application Publication 2002/0034012 to Santoro et al.
- 5. Santoro et al. describes a light source (162, 178) and means (160, 176) to cause divergent light at a distal end of the light source, where at a first position (170, 184) of the distal end relative to a target the energy density of a beam is substantially equal to the energy density of the light, and where at a second position (172, 186), the light emitted form the distal end has an energy density significantly less than the energy density at the light source (see Pages 12-13 and Figures 20-21). While the preamble of the claims describes the device and method for

Art Unit: 2874

improving safety, these limitations only speak to intended use and are not given any patentable weight.

- 6. Further, Santoro et al. does not specifically describe the light source as a monochromatic source of the type presently claimed. However, such monochromatic light sources are well-known in the art for many uses. The motivation for using such a source with the diverging structure of Santoro et al. would be to allow for controllable illumination using such a source.
- 7. Further, Santoro et al. describes scattering the light (174, 188). Further, Santoro et al. describes a diffusing unit comprising a transparent diffusive transmitting element (166, 180) that is attached to the distal end of the monochromatic light source and scatters the light.
- 8. Further, Santoro et al. does not describe the radiance having the described values. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to scatter light to have the presently claimed radiance since it has been held that discovering the optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).
- 9. Further, Santoro et al. does not describe the angle of divergent exit beam to the values described in the present claims. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to scatter light to have the presently claimed angles since it has been held that discovering the optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).
- 10. Further, Santoro et al. describes the diffusive transmitting element as glass or plastic (see Paragraph 0187).

Application/Control Number: 10/614,672

Art Unit: 2874

11. Further, Santoro et al. describes the clear transmitting element and the diffusive

transmitting element as parallel and perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the diffusing unit

(see Figures 20-21).

12. Further, the claims only recite intended uses for the method and device. (see MPEP

section 2114, "a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to

be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus")

Allowable Subject Matter

13. Claims 6, 20, 41, 57-58, 71-72, 75-78 and 80 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 14. Claims 6 and 41 and describe the diffuser as axially displaceable.
- 15. Claims 20 and 57 describe a gap between the diffusive element and the clear element.
- 16. Claim 58 describes the diffusive element having a plurality of randomly distributed irregularities.
- 17. Claims 71-72 describe the attachment means as releasable.
- 18. Claims 75-77 describe a means to evacuate vapors or particles from the target.
- 19. Claims 20 and 78 describe a means for cooling skin.
- 20. Claim 80 describes a means for generating a visible flash.
- 21. This is subject matter not described or reasonably suggested by the prior art of record.

Page 4

Art Unit: 2874

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerry T. Rahll whose telephone number is (571) 272-2356. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (8:30-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on (571) 272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jerry/Γ Rahll

AKM ENAYET ULLAH PRIMARY EXAMINER